

# Real-world data and evidence: A European regulatory perspective

**Somsuvro Basu**

P95 Clinical and Epidemiology Services,  
Leuven, Belgium

**doi:** 10.56012/rhcw1179

## Correspondence to:

**Somsuvro Basu**

basu.somsuvro@gmail.com

## Abstract

The European Medicines Agency (EMA) has increasingly recognised the value of real-world data (RWD) and real-world evidence (RWE) in this ever-changing regulatory landscape. Regulatory decisions have been traditionally based primarily on data from randomised controlled trials. However, RWD – information collected from everyday clinical settings such as patient registries, health records, and insurance claims – has emerged as a complementary resource. The EMA views RWE as a key tool for enhancing drug development, supporting adaptive licensing, and providing a more accurate assessment of the safety and effectiveness of medicines once they are on the market. The agency emphasises a structured approach to incorporating RWD through frameworks, pilot programmes, and data standardisation initiatives. Through various initiatives, including the DARWIN EU<sup>®</sup> initiative and its Big Data Steering Group, the EMA is working to ensure the scientific validity and regulatory acceptability of RWE across the medicinal product lifecycle.

## Introduction

**T**he European Medicines Agency (EMA) has been increasingly incorporating real-world evidence (RWE) into its regulatory framework to support decision-making across the lifecycle of medicines. This integration reflects the growing recognition of RWE's potential to complement traditional clinical trial data, particularly in addressing evidence gaps, monitoring safety, and informing post-approval

assessments.<sup>1</sup> EMA's approach to RWE is structured around several key foundations, including the generation of fit-for-purpose data, collaboration with stakeholders, and the development of robust methodologies to ensure the quality and reliability of RWE.<sup>2</sup>

In their report "EMA Regulatory Science to 2025: Strategic reflection," EMA proposed "Driving collaborative RWE generation to improve the scientific quality of evaluations" as one of the five strategic goals in human medicine.<sup>3</sup> EMA's evolving strategy emphasises the need for continuous dialogue with stakeholders to optimise the use of RWE in regulatory evaluations.<sup>4</sup> This shift aims to enhance the overall benefit-risk assessment of medicinal products. Moreover, EMA's commit-

ment to integrating RWE signifies a paradigm shift in regulatory science, fostering a more comprehensive understanding of medicines' real-world performance and safety profiles.<sup>4</sup> This progressive approach acknowledges some limitations of traditional randomised controlled trials (e.g., small and highly selected sample size) and seeks to leverage the growing availability of digital health data for more effective regulatory decisions.<sup>5</sup> This article explores the technical methods, practical applications, and specific case studies of RWE integration into EMA's regulatory framework, highlighting the challenges and future directions in this evolving field.



Image: Freepik

**Table 1. OPTIMAL (OPerational-Technlcal-MethodologicAl) framework for real-world evidence<sup>a</sup>**

Pillar	Focus area	Key components
<b>Operational</b>	Concerns the <b>quality and governance</b> of real-world data and data sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data provenance, access, and governance structures</li> <li>• Completeness, consistency, accuracy, traceability, and sustainability of data collection</li> <li>• Data sharing agreements aligned with the General Data Protection</li> <li>• Regulation and national legislation; use of ENCePP Code of Conduct</li> <li>• Transparent documentation of data source policies and collaboration models</li> </ul>
<b>Technical</b>	Relates to <b>data structure, interoperability, and management systems</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Use of standardised terminologies and coding systems</li> <li>• Interoperability across systems and potential for data linkage (e.g., registries, electronic health records, claims)</li> <li>• Mapping to Common Data Models, including validation</li> <li>• Quality assurance/control procedures, including internal/external audits</li> <li>• Benchmarking against external data sources</li> <li>• Capture of critical time elements and consistent recording practices</li> <li>• EMA qualification procedure for data source</li> </ul>
<b>Methodological</b>	Focuses on the <b>scientific rigour</b> of study design and analysis of real-world evidence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Use of appropriate, validated study designs for regulatory purposes</li> <li>• Identification and control of confounding factors and biases</li> <li>• Documentation of feasibility analysis</li> <li>• Study protocol registration and transparent reporting of results</li> <li>• Use of best practices in epidemiology/statistics</li> <li>• Seeking EMA Scientific Advice for study protocol evaluation</li> </ul>

<sup>a</sup>Adapted table from *Cave et al. (2019)*<sup>6</sup>

Abbreviations: EMA, European Medicines Agency; ENCePP, European Network for Centres of Pharmacoepidemiology and Pharmacovigilance.

## EMA's regulatory framework and actions on RWE

EMA has taken a proactive approach to integrating RWE into its regulatory decision-making framework. This includes strategic initiatives, infrastructure development, pilot programmes, and stakeholder collaboration aimed at fostering trust in the utility of RWD and RWE.

### Key pillars of the RWE framework

EMA's approach to RWE is structured around three central pillars – operational, technical, and methodological (Table 1), forming the OPTIMAL framework,<sup>6</sup> which could collectively aim to maximise the potential of RWE in regulatory decision-making.

EMA has identified three major pathways for generating RWE: the Data Analysis and Real World Interrogation Network (DARWIN EU®), in-house electronic health databases, and studies commissioned via EMA framework contracts.<sup>2</sup> Building on these and the key pillars, EMA has introduced several initiatives aimed at bolstering its commitment to RWE.

### The Big Data Steering Group

In 2020, EMA and the Heads of Medicines Agencies established the Big Data Steering Group (BDSG) to enhance the capacity of the European regulatory network to use big data, including RWD. This group provides strategic direction on priorities, such as data quality, analytics capabilities, and RWE acceptability. They aim to advance the integration of big data into the regulatory framework to improve public health outcomes.<sup>7</sup> BDSG is currently exploring the use of mobile health (mHealth) data, generated from devices like smartphones and wearables, to provide detailed patient information (e.g., heart rate and sleep quality) for regulatory decisions. While mHealth data shows promise, challenges such as data quality and privacy must be addressed.<sup>8</sup>

### DARWIN EU®

Launched in 2022, DARWIN EU® is a key initiative enabling the EMA to access and analyse RWD from across Europe. By establishing a network of data partners across the EU, DARWIN EU® facilitates timely and robust

analyses, enabling regulators to assess the safety and effectiveness of medicinal products more efficiently.<sup>9</sup> This network aims for approximately 40 partners by February 2026 and currently provides access to anonymised health data from around 180 million patients across Europe.<sup>10</sup>

DARWIN EU® assists in:

- Supporting regulatory assessments, such as risk-benefit evaluations, safety signals, and post-marketing surveillance.
- Enhancing the understanding of disease epidemiology and treatment patterns.
- Contributing to research and innovation by enabling high-quality studies at scale.

### Guidance documents and scientific advice

The EMA provides specific guidance on the design, conduct, and reporting of RWE studies. For example, the "Guideline on Registry-Based Studies"<sup>11</sup> and the "Guideline on Good Pharmacovigilance Practices" outline expectations for the quality and transparency of RWE.<sup>12</sup>

Additionally, developers can seek scientific advice from the EMA on planned RWE studies.<sup>2</sup> This helps align study designs with regulatory



expectations early in the process, thereby increasing the likelihood that RWE will be considered acceptable during regulatory review.

#### Pilot programmes and case studies

The EMA has conducted various pilots to test the regulatory acceptability of RWE. For instance, a pilot programme involving post-authorisation safety studies demonstrated how RWE could supplement traditional data sources in assessing long-term safety.<sup>13</sup> Another pilot programme used patient registries to evaluate treatment effectiveness in rare diseases, supporting product label extensions.<sup>14,15</sup>

Through these pilots, EMA has demonstrated that well-conducted RWE studies can provide reliable and actionable evidence for regulatory purposes.

#### Technical methods for RWE integration

EMA has developed and refined various technical methods to incorporate RWE into its regulatory processes. These methods are designed to address the complexities of RWD, ensuring its quality, reliability, and relevance for decision-making.

#### Study design and data sources

RWE studies often involve retrospective cohort studies, case-control studies, and observational research. EMA emphasises the importance of using fit-for-purpose data sources, such as electronic health records, claims databases, and patient registries.<sup>16</sup> For instance, EMA's pilot programme identified 61 research topics for RWE generation, with a focus on medicine safety, clinical trial design, drug utilisation, and disease epidemiology, and each necessitates fit-for-purpose data sources relevant to the regulatory research question.<sup>17</sup> Additionally, EMA has stressed the importance of early interactions with stakeholders, such as marketing authorisation holders, to better understand research questions and optimise RWE generation.<sup>17,18</sup> Similarly, the use of external controls derived from RWD has been explored to contextualise outcomes from uncontrolled trials, though this requires careful consideration of eligibility criteria, temporality, and population representation.<sup>19</sup>

By establishing a network of data partners across the EU, DARWIN EU<sup>®</sup> facilitates timely and robust analyses, enabling regulators to assess the safety and effectiveness of medicinal products more efficiently.

#### Analytical methods

The use of advanced analytical techniques, such as propensity score matching and instrumental variable analysis, can help address confounding and bias in RWE studies.<sup>20</sup> EMA also advocates for transparency in study design and analysis, with a focus on reproducibility and robustness.<sup>21</sup>

EMA recommends sensitivity analyses to be considered to assess the reliability of findings in the context of uncertainty and potential bias.<sup>2,19,22,23</sup>

#### Data quality and standardisation

Ensuring the quality of RWD is a critical challenge. EMA has emphasised the need for standardised data collection and reporting practices. Initiatives such as the European Health Data and Evidence Network (EHDEN)<sup>24</sup> and the GetReal Institute<sup>25</sup> aim to improve data interoperability and facilitate the use of RWE in regulatory and Health Technology Assessment (HTA) processes.<sup>26,27</sup>

#### Practical applications of RWE in the EU regulatory framework

Practical applications of RWE have emerged across various stages of the medicinal product lifecycle, from pre-approval to post-marketing surveillance.

#### Regulatory decision-making

RWE is increasingly used to support regulatory decisions, particularly in cases where clinical trial data is limited. For example, RWE has been instrumental in evaluating the safety and effectiveness of orphan medicinal products to overcome the unique challenges of rare disease drug development.<sup>28</sup> The EMA has also used RWE to inform decisions on drug utilisation and clinical management, particularly in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic.<sup>17</sup>

In regulatory submissions, RWE has been used in the context of marketing authorisation applications (MAAs) and extensions of indication. A review of MAAs submitted to the EMA in 2018

and 2019 revealed that 40% of these applications included RWE, primarily derived from registries and hospital data, to support safety and efficacy assessments.<sup>29</sup> Similarly, RWE has been used to inform post-marketing safety evaluations, particularly for rare adverse events and subgroup analyses.<sup>30</sup> EMA has also recognised the value of RWE in evaluating the effectiveness of risk minimisation measures and understanding product usage and misuse.<sup>30</sup>

#### Health technology assessment

RWE plays a pivotal role in HTA processes, particularly in evaluating the real-world effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of treatments. HTA bodies such as the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) and the Haute Autorité de Santé (HAS) have increasingly accepted RWE to inform reimbursement decisions, though challenges remain in terms of data quality and generalisability.<sup>22,31</sup> Moreover, the collaborative work between EMA and EUnetHTA (European Network for Health Technology Assessment) highlights the importance of using extrapolation (inferring data from adults to children, aiding regulatory decision-making) in paediatric drug development to address ethical concerns and support robust evidence generation, ultimately aiding in benefit/risk considerations for regulatory authorities and HTA bodies.<sup>32</sup>

#### Post-approval surveillance

RWE is widely used for post-marketing surveillance, enabling the identification of safety signals and adverse events in real-world settings. The EMA's DARWIN EU<sup>®</sup> initiative, a network for RWD analysis, has been instrumental in monitoring the safety of approved medicinal products.<sup>27</sup>

#### Healthcare resource use

RWE is increasingly used to assess the economic impact of healthcare interventions. By providing insights into treatment patterns, resource utilisation, and long-term outcomes, RWE supports value-based decision-making and resource allocation.<sup>22,33</sup>

EMA's pilot programme identified 61 research topics for RWE generation, with a focus on medicine safety, clinical trial design, drug utilisation, and disease epidemiology.



Image: Freepik

### Case studies: RWE in action across the EU

Several case studies illustrate the successful integration of RWE into EMA's regulatory framework.

#### Orphan medicinal products

RWE has been particularly valuable in the approval of orphan medicinal products, where clinical trial data is often limited. For example, the approval of abaloparatide for osteoporosis relied on RWE to address gaps in clinical trial data, demonstrating the effectiveness of RWE in supporting regulatory decisions for rare diseases.<sup>34</sup>

#### Oncology medicines

In oncology, RWE has been used to evaluate the real-world effectiveness of cancer treatments. A review of oncology-targeted therapies approved between 2018 and 2022 indicated that RWE contributed to regulatory decisions in 21% of cases, demonstrating its role in addressing evidence gaps and enhancing understanding of treatment outcomes.<sup>35</sup> A case study on the approval of a novel oncology medicine highlighted the role of RWE in bridging the gap

between clinical trial results and real-world outcomes, facilitating regulatory and HTA decisions.<sup>36</sup>

#### Rare diseases and registry data

The SATURN initiative demonstrates the feasibility of using existing registries to collect RWE for rare diseases. By leveraging data from the Registry of Osteogenesis Imperfecta, SATURN has provided valuable insights into treatment practices and outcomes, supporting regulatory and HTA decision-making.<sup>37</sup>

### Challenges in RWE integration

Despite its potential, integrating RWE into the EMA's regulatory framework faces several challenges.

#### Data heterogeneity and quality

The heterogeneity of RWD sources across EU member states poses significant challenges. Differences in

healthcare systems, data collection practices, and privacy regulations complicate the aggregation and analysis of RWD.<sup>6,33</sup>

A review of oncology-targeted therapies approved between 2018 and 2022 indicated that RWE contributed to regulatory decisions in 21% of cases, demonstrating its role in addressing evidence gaps and enhancing understanding of treatment outcomes.

#### Regulatory harmonisation

The lack of harmonised guidelines for RWE use across EU member states and HTA bodies remains a barrier. While the EMA has made progress in developing RWE-specific guidance, inconsistencies in terminology and methodological preferences persist.<sup>26,38</sup>

#### Ethical and privacy considerations

The use of RWD raises ethical and privacy concerns, particularly concerning patient confidentiality and data protection. The implementation of the General Data Protection Regulation in the EU has introduced additional complexities in RWD utilisation.<sup>22,33</sup>





Image: Freepik

### Future directions for RWE in the EU

To fully realise the potential of RWE, the EMA and other stakeholders must address existing challenges and invest in initiatives that enhance the quality, accessibility, and harmonisation of RWD.

#### Harmonising RWE guidelines

The development of harmonised guidelines for RWE use across EU member states and HTA bodies is essential. A public-private partnership, the Integration of heterogeneous Data and Evidence towards Regulatory & HTA Acceptance (IDERHA) project,<sup>39</sup> aims to align RWE requirements and reduce fragmentation in regulatory and HTA processes.<sup>38,40</sup> IDERHA, launched in April 2023, aims to apply artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) to link and analyse diverse health data for early detection of lung cancer and improved quality of life for those affected.<sup>39</sup>

#### Enhancing data infrastructure

Investing in robust data infrastructure is critical to overcoming the limitations of RWD. Under the IDERHA project, initiatives such as the European Health Data Space (EHDS) aim to improve data interoperability and facilitate cross-border data sharing.<sup>6,41</sup> EHDS is designed to

enable individuals to access and manage their health data across the EU. This initiative includes the primary use of data (EHDS1; MyHealth@EU) for healthcare delivery and decision-making, and the secondary use of data (EHDS2; HealthData@EU) for research, innovation, policy-making, and regulatory purposes.<sup>42</sup>

#### Promoting innovation and collaboration

The integration of advanced technologies, such as AI and ML, holds promise for enhancing RWE generation and analysis. Collaboration among regulators, industry stakeholders, and academia will be key to driving innovation and addressing methodological challenges.<sup>22,43</sup>

#### Building on existing initiatives

The EMA's Network Strategy to 2025 aims to leverage RWE further in regulatory processes, addressing critical research questions and enhancing medicinal product evaluations.<sup>44</sup> Building on that, EMA's Network Strategy 2028 plans to further leverage RWE with a strengthening contribution from digital transformation and AI.<sup>45</sup>

### Conclusion

EMA is at the forefront of integrating RWE into the regulatory framework. Through strategic initiatives like DARWIN EU®, robust guidance documents, and collaborative stakeholder engagement, EMA is enabling the generation and use of high-quality, regulatory-grade RWE. Despite challenges in data quality, methodology, and interoperability, the outlook is promising. Continued investment in data infrastructure, methodological innovation, and regulatory alignment will be key to unlocking the full potential of RWE. As RWE becomes increasingly embedded in decision-making processes, it promises to enhance the evaluation of medicinal products, improve patient outcomes, and support more dynamic and responsive regulatory practices.

### Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Ana Goios (P95 Clinical and Epidemiology Services, Leuven, Belgium) for reviewing the manuscript.

### Disclaimers

The opinions expressed in this article are the author's own and not necessarily shared by his employer, the EMWA, or the EMA.

### Disclosures and conflicts of interest

The author is employed by P95 Clinical and Epidemiology Services (Leuven, Belgium), but this work is independent of his employment.

In addition to PubMed, SciSpace and ChatGPT were utilised for the preliminary literature search.

### References

1. EMA. Use of real-world evidence in regulatory decision making – EMA publishes review of its studies. 2023 [cited 2025 July 17]. Available from: <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/news/use-real-world-evidence-regulatory-decision-making-ema-publishes-review-its-studies>
2. EMA. Real-world evidence provided by EMA. 2024 [cited 2025 July 17]. Available from: [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/other/guide-real-world-evidence-provided-ema-support-regulatory-decision-making\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/other/guide-real-world-evidence-provided-ema-support-regulatory-decision-making_en.pdf)
3. EMA. EMA Regulatory Science to 2025 Strategic reflection. 2019 [cited 2025 July 17]. Available from:

- [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/regulatory-procedural-guideline/ema-regulatory-science-2025-strategic-reflection\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/regulatory-procedural-guideline/ema-regulatory-science-2025-strategic-reflection_en.pdf)
4. Bakker E, Plueschke K, Jonker CJ, et al. Contribution of real-world evidence in European Medicines Agency's regulatory decision making. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2023;113(1):135-151. doi:10.1002/cpt.2766
5. Maison P, Zureik M, Hivert V, et al. Real-world evidence (RWE): A challenge for regulatory agencies discussion of the RWE conference with the network of the European Medicine Agencies, patients, and experts [published correction appears in *Front Pharmacol.* 2025 Apr 07;16:1601645 doi:10.3389/fphar.2025.1601645.]. *Front Pharmacol.* 2022;13:969091. doi:10.3389/fphar.2022.969091.
6. Cave A, Kurz X, Arlett P. Real-world data for regulatory decision making: Challenges and possible solutions for Europe. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2019;106(1):36-9 doi:10.1002/cpt.142610.1002/cpt.1426
7. EMA. Big Data Workplan 2023-2025: HMA/EMA joint Big Data Steering Group. 2024 [cited 2025 July 18]. Available from: [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/work-programme/workplan-2023-2025-hma-ema-joint-big-data-steering-group\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/work-programme/workplan-2023-2025-hma-ema-joint-big-data-steering-group_en.pdf)
8. Heads of Medicines Agencies (HMA). mHealth Data for Real World Evidence in Regulatory Decision Making. An expert review report for the HMA/EMA Big Data Steering Group-2024. 2024 [cited 2025 July 17]. Available from: [https://www.ema.europa.eu/system/files/documents/report/mhealth-data-regulatory-decision-making\\_expert-review-report\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/system/files/documents/report/mhealth-data-regulatory-decision-making_expert-review-report_en.pdf)
9. EMA. Data Analysis and Real World Interrogation Network (DARWIN EU). 2025 [cited 2025 May 16]. Available from: <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/about-us/how-we-work/data-regulation-big-data-other-sources/real-world-evidence/data-analysis-real-world-interrogation-network-darwin-eu>
10. DARWIN-EU. Home Page. 2025 [cited 2025 July 17]. Available from: <https://www.darwin-eu.org/>
11. EMA. Committee for Human Medicinal Products (CHMP) Guideline on registry-based studies. 2021 [cited 2025 July 17]. Available from: [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/scientific-guideline/guideline-registry-based-studies\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/scientific-guideline/guideline-registry-based-studies_en.pdf)
12. EMA. Good pharmacovigilance practices (GVP). 1995–2025 [cited 2025 July 17]. Available from: <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory-overview/post-authorisation/pharmacovigilance-post-authorisation/good-pharmacovigilance-practices-gvp>
13. EMA. Post-authorisation safety studies (PASS).1995–2025 [cited 2025 July 17]. Available from: <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory-overview/post-authorisation/pharmacovigilance-post-authorisation/post-authorisation-safety-studies-pass>
14. EMA. Patient registries. 1995 – 2025 [cited 2025 July 17]. Available from: <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory-overview/post-authorisation/patient-registries#related-content-17663>
15. Jonker CJ, Bakker E, Kurz X, et al. Contribution of patient registries to regulatory decision making on rare diseases medicinal products in Europe. *Front Pharmacol.* 2022;13:924648. Published 2022 Aug 4. doi:10.3389/fphar.2022.924648.
16. EMA. Report from “Multi-stakeholder workshop on Real World Data (RWD) quality and Real World Evidence (RWE) use”. 2023 [cited 2025 July 17]. Available from: [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/report/report-multi-stakeholder-workshop-real-world-data-rwd-quality-and-real-world-evidence-rwe-use\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/report/report-multi-stakeholder-workshop-real-world-data-rwd-quality-and-real-world-evidence-rwe-use_en.pdf)
17. Prilla S, Groeneveld S, Pacurariu A, et al. Real-world evidence to support EU regulatory decision making – results from a pilot of regulatory use cases. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2024;116(5):1188-1197. doi:10.1002/cpt.3355
18. EMA. Real-world evidence framework to support EU regulatory decision-making - 2nd report on the experience gained with regulator-led studies from February 2023 to February 2024. 2024 [cited 2025 July 17]. Available from: [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/document/s/report/real-world-evidence-framework-support-eu-regulatory-decision-making-2nd-report-experience-gained-regulator-led-studies-february-2023-february-2024\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/document/s/report/real-world-evidence-framework-support-eu-regulatory-decision-making-2nd-report-experience-gained-regulator-led-studies-february-2023-february-2024_en.pdf)
19. Curtis LH, Sola-Morales O, Heidt J, et al. Regulatory and HTA considerations for development of real-world data derived external controls. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2023;114(2):303-15. doi:10.1002/cpt.2913
20. Li Q, Lin J, Chi A, Davies S. Practical considerations of utilizing propensity score methods in clinical development using real-world and historical data. *Contemp Clin Trials.* 2020;97:106123. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2020.106123
21. EMA. Transparency. 1995 –2025 [cited 2025 July 17]. Available from: <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/about-us/how-we-work/transparency#clinical-trials-12744>
22. Bhatia N. Harnessing real-world evidence in pharmacoeconomics: A comprehensive review. *Open Health.* 2024;5(1):20230048. doi:10.1515/ohe-2023-0048
23. EMA. Reflection paper on use of real-world data in non-interventional studies to generate real-world evidence for regulatory purposes. 2025 [cited 2025 July 17]. Available from: [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/other/reflection-paper-use-real-world-data-non-interventional-studies-generate-real-world-evidence-regulatory-purposes\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/other/reflection-paper-use-real-world-data-non-interventional-studies-generate-real-world-evidence-regulatory-purposes_en.pdf)
24. European data. European Health Data and Evidence Network (EHDEN): Shaping the future of health data in Europe. 2024 [cited 2025 July 17]. Available from: <https://data.europa.eu/en/news-events/news/european-health-data-and-evidence-network-ehden-shaping-future-health-data-europe>
25. GetReal Institute. 2025 [cited 2025 May 16]. Available from: <https://getreal-institute.org/>
26. Sarri G, Hernandez LG. The maze of real-world evidence frameworks: from a desert to a jungle! An environmental scan and comparison across regulatory and health technology assessment agencies. *J Comp Eff Res.* 2024;13(9):e240061. doi:10.57264/cer-2024-0061

27. Claire R, Elvidge J, Hanif S, et al. Advancing the use of real world evidence in health technology assessment: insights from a multi-stakeholder workshop. *Front Pharmacol*. 2024;14:1289365. Published 2024 Jan 12. doi:10.3389/fphar.2023.1289365
28. Naumann-Winter F, Wolter F, Hermes U, et al. Licensing of orphan medicinal products – Use of real-world data and other external data on efficacy aspects in marketing authorization applications concluded at the European Medicines Agency between 2019 and 2021. *Front Pharmacol*. doi:10.3389/fphar.2022.920336
29. Flynn R, Plueschke K, Quinten C, et al. Marketing authorization applications made to the European Medicines Agency in 2018–2019: What was the contribution of real-world evidence? *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2022;111(1):90–97. doi:10.1002/cpt.2461
30. Brown JP, Wing K, Evans SJ, et al. Use of real-world evidence in postmarketing medicines regulation in the European Union: a systematic assessment of European Medicines Agency referrals 2013–2017. *BMJ Open*. 2019;9(10):e028133. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-028133
31. Al-khayat Z, Franzen N, Retèl VP, et al. PP37 guidance on using hospital-based real-world evidence in health technology assessments for oncology. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care*. 2024;40(S1):S69. doi:10.1017/S0266462324002095
32. Karres D, Pino-Barrio MJ, Benchetrit S, et al. Evidence generation throughout paediatric medicines life cycle: findings from collaborative work between European Medicines Agency (EMA) and EUnetHTA on use of extrapolation. *Br J Pharmacol*. 2025;182(3):484–494. doi:10.1111/bph.17396
33. Zisis K, Pavi E, Geitona M, et al. Real-world data: a comprehensive literature review on the barriers, challenges, and opportunities associated with their inclusion in the health technology assessment process. *J Pharm Pharm Sci*. 2024;27:12302. doi:10.3389/jpps.2024.12302
34. Davenport C, Gravel P, Wang Y, et al. Real-world evidence to support the registration of a new osteoporosis medicinal product in Europe. *Ther Innov Regul Sci*. 2024;58(3):505–18. doi:10.1007/s43441-024-00616-7
35. Derksen JW, Martins-Branco D, Valachis A, et al. Real-world evidence reported for clinical efficacy evaluation in European Public Assessment Reports of authorised targeted therapies for solid malignancies: a comprehensive review (2018–2022). *ESMO Real World Data and Digital Oncology*. 2024;4:100039. doi:10.1016/j.esmorw.2024.100039
36. Zong J, Rojubally A, Pan X, et al. A review and comparative case study analysis of real-world evidence in European regulatory and health technology assessment decision making for oncology medicines. *Value Health*. 2025;28(1):31–41. doi:10.1016/j.jval.2024.09.007
37. Sangiorgi L, Boarini M, Westerheim I, et al. Project SATURN – a real-world evidence data collaboration with existing European datasets in Osteogenesis Imperfecta to support future therapies. *Orphanet J Rare Dis*. 2024;19(1):184. doi:10.1186/s13023-024-03185-y
38. Cresswell K, Claire R, Avsar TS, et al. PD137 Reviewing the health technology assessment and regulatory policy landscape on acceptability standards for real-world evidence – Initial findings. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care*. 2024;40(S1):S147–S147. doi:10.1017/S026646232400374X
39. IDERHA. 2025 [cited 2025 July 17]. Available from: <https://www.iderha.org/>
40. Thokagevistik K, Coppo C, Rey L, et al. Real-world evidence to reinforce clinical trial evidence in health technology assessment: A critical review of real-world evidence requirements from seven countries and recommendations to improve acceptance. *J Mark Access Health Policy*. 2024;12(2):105–17. doi:10.3390/jmahp120200909
41. Li M, Chen S, Lai Y, et al. Integrating real-world evidence in the regulatory decision-making process: A systematic analysis of experiences in the US, EU, and China using a logic model. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. 2021;8:669509. doi:10.3389/fmed.2021.669509
42. Hussein R, Balaur I, Burmann A, et al. Getting ready for the European Health Data Space (EHDS): IDERHA's plan to align with the latest EHDS requirements for the secondary use of health data. *Open Res Eur*. 2024;4:160. doi:10.12688/openreseurope.18179.1
43. Jansen MS, Dekkers OM, le Cessie S, et al. Real-world evidence to inform regulatory decision making: A scoping review. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2024;115(6):1269–76. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpt.3218>
44. EMA. European medicines agencies network strategy to 2025 - Protecting public health at a time of rapid change. 2020 [cited 2025 July 17]. Available from: [https://www.ema.europa.eu/system/files/documents/report/eman\\_strategy\\_2025\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/system/files/documents/report/eman_strategy_2025_en.pdf)
45. EMA. Seizing opportunities in a changing medicines landscape. 2024 [cited 2025 July 17]. Available from: <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/news/seizing-opportunities-changing-medicines-landscape>

## Author information

**Somsuvro Basu (Som)** is a Senior Medical Writer at P95 Clinical and Epidemiology Services, Leuven, Belgium. Som has doctoral (University of South Bohemia, Biology Centre – Czech Academy of Sciences, Czech Republic) and postdoctoral (Philipps-University Marburg, Germany) training in molecular cell biology (parasitology and human mitochondrial biology).



Som previously worked as a Science Communication Officer at the Central European Institute of Technology. Parallely, he acted as the EU-LIFE Science Communications working group co-chair. He has been an active EMWA member since 2017 and volunteered as the EMWA Honorary Secretary (2021–2025) and is on the editorial board of EMWA's *Medical Writing* journal.